## Performance of Rural Development Programmes of the 2007-2013 period - Your Voice

Fields marked with	* are mandatory.		
--------------------	------------------	--	--

## Introduction

The Commission will use the information gathered through this survey together with other analysis to draw conclusions on the performance of the 2007-2013 Rural Development programming period. The conclusions will feed into the reflection on the future Common Agricultural Policy.

Respondents can also upload a document (e. g. a position paper) at the end of the questionnaire.

## About you

*1 You are replying
as an individual in your personal capacity
in your professional capacity or on behalf of an organisation
2 If you are replying as an individual in your personal capacity you are a:
farmer
processor
retailer
wholesaler
individual citizen of a rural area
none of the above
*3 First name
*4 Last name
*5 Email address
If you do not have an email address, please write "Not available".

*6 Country of residence
O Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus Cyprus
Czech Republic
O Denmark
© Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
© Greece
Hungary
Ireland
Italy
Catvia
Caracteristic Lithuania
Luxembourg
Malta
Netherlands
O Poland
O Portugal
Romania
Slovakia Slovakia
O Slovenia
O Spain
Sweden
United Kingdom
Other
*7 If "other", please specify:
*9. Vour contribution
*8 Your contribution,  Note that, whatever option chosen, your answers may be subject to a request for public access to documents under Regulation (EC)
Note that, whatever option chosen, your answers may be subject to a request for public access to documents under <u>negulation (EC)</u>

N°1049/2001

- can be published with your personal information (I consent the publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name or my organisation's name, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication)
- can be published provided that you remain anonymous (I consent to the publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that it is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent the publication.
- \*9 Respondent's first name

*10 Respondent's last name	
*11 Respondent's professional email address	
*12 Name of the organisation	
*13 Postal address of the organisation	
*14 Type of organisation	
Please select the answer option that fits best.	
Private enterprise	
Professional consultancy, law firm, self-employed consultant	
Trade, business or professional association	
Non-governmental organisation, platform or network	
Research and academia	
Churches and religious communities	
Regional or local authority (public or mixed)	
<ul><li>International or national public authority</li><li>Other</li></ul>	
*15 If "other", please specify:	
*16 How many employees does the company have?	
More than 250 employees (Large enterprise)	
Between 50 and 250 employees (Medium-sized enterprise)	
Between 10 and 49 employees (Small enterprise)	
Less than 10 employees (Micro enterprise)	
Self-employed (Micro enterprise)	
*17 Please specify the type of organisation.	

Chamber of commerce
Business organisation
Trade Union
Represenative of professions or crafts
Other
*18 If "other", please specify:
*10. Places are sife, the time of averagination
*19 Please specify the type of organisation.
Think tank
Research institution
Academic institution
*20 Please specify the type of organisation.
Regional public authority
Local public authority
Public-private sub-national organisation
Network of public sub-national authorities
Other
*21 If "other", please specify:
21 ii other, please specify.
*22 Please specify the type of organisation.
Intergovernmental organisation
EU institution, body or agency
National parliament
National government
National public authority or agency
*23 Is your organisation included in the Transparency Register?
If your organisation is not registered, we invite you to register here, although it is not compulsory to be registered to reply to this
consultation. Why a transparency register?
Yes
© No
Not applicable
The applicable
*24 If so, please indicate your Register ID number.

\*25 Country of organisation's headquarters

	Austria
	Belgium
	Bulgaria
	Croatia
	Cyprus
	Czech Republic
	Denmark
	Estonia
	Finland
	France
	Germany
	Greece
	Hungary
	Ireland
	Italy
	Latvia
	Lithuania
	Luxembourg
	Malta
	Netherlands
	Poland
	Portugal
	Romania
	Slovak Republic
	Slovenia
	Spain
	Sweden
	United Kingdom
	Other
*26 I	f "other", please specify:
*27 \	our contribution,

Note that, whatever option chosen, your answers may be subject to a request for public access to documents under <a href="Regulation">Regulation (EC)</a>
N°1049/2001

- can be published with your organisation's information (I consent the publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication)
- can be published provided that your organisation remains anonymous (I consent to the publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that it is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent the publication.

## PERFORMANCE OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMMES OF THE 2007-2013 PERIOD – YOUR VOICE

Your perception of the efficiency, effectiveness, coherence, relevance and EU added value of the 2007-2013 Rural Development Programmes is important.

28 Are you aware of Rural Development projects (2007-2013) funded in your area/region?  yes  no	
29 Have you been a beneficiary of a project funded within the 2007-2013 Rural Development Programme?  yes no	
30 If yes: Under which axis was your project funded?  Axis 1: improving the competitiveness of the agricultural and forestry sector  Axis 2: improving the environment and the countryside  Axis 3: improving the quality of life in rural areas and encouraging diversification of the rural econom  LEADER	ıy
31 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region contributed to improving the competitiveness of the agricultural sector?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution very strong negative contribution no opinion	٦
32 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region contributed to improving the competitiveness of the forestry sector?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution very strong negative contribution no opinion	1

contributed to improving the environment?
very strong positive contribution
strong positive contribution
moderate positive contribution
had no effect
moderate negative contribution
strong negative contribution
very strong negative contribution
no opinion
34 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region contributed to improving the countryside?
very strong positive contribution
strong positive contribution
moderate positive contribution
had no effect
moderate negative contribution
strong negative contribution
very strong negative contribution
no opinion
35 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region
oo iii yodi opiilioli, to what exterit have the ritaral bevelopinicht i rogiallillico iii yodi oodiiti yhogion
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution  strong positive contribution
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution  strong positive contribution  moderate positive contribution
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution moderate positive contribution had no effect
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution moderate positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution  strong positive contribution  moderate positive contribution  had no effect  moderate negative contribution  strong negative contribution
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution moderate positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution very strong negative contribution
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution moderate positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution very strong negative contribution no opinion
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution moderate positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution very strong negative contribution no opinion  36 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution moderate positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution very strong negative contribution no opinion  36 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region contributed to encouraging diversification of the rural economy?
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution moderate positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution very strong negative contribution no opinion  36 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region contributed to encouraging diversification of the rural economy? very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution strong positive contribution moderate positive contribution moderate positive contribution
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution moderate positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution very strong negative contribution no opinion  36 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region contributed to encouraging diversification of the rural economy? very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution strong positive contribution had no effect
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution strong negative contribution output very strong negative contribution no opinion  36 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region contributed to encouraging diversification of the rural economy? output very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution strong positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution strong negative contribution very strong negative contribution no opinion  36 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region contributed to encouraging diversification of the rural economy? very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution moderate positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution strong negative contribution
contributed to improving the quality of life in rural areas?  very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution strong negative contribution strong negative contribution output very strong negative contribution no opinion  36 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region contributed to encouraging diversification of the rural economy? output very strong positive contribution strong positive contribution strong positive contribution had no effect moderate negative contribution

37 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region contributed to building local capacity for employment?

very strong positive contribution
strong positive contribution
moderate positive contribution
had no effect
moderate negative contribution
strong negative contribution
very strong negative contribution
no opinion
38 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country/region
contributed to building local capacity for diversification?
very strong positive contribution
strong positive contribution
moderate positive contribution
had no effect
moderate negative contribution
strong negative contribution
very strong negative contribution
no opinion
39 In your opinion, to what extent have the Rural Development Programmes in your country provided
value for money?
very good value for money
good value for money
☐ limited value for money
no value for money
no opinion
in opinion
40 In your opinion, what could be simplified and how in order to improve the management and
40 In your opinion, what could be simplified and how in order to improve the management and implementation of Rural Development Programmes?
Implementation of Harai Bevelopment Frogrammes.
41 In your opinion, to what extent were the Rural Development projects consistent with other EU funded
interventions in your area?
very strongly consistent
strongly consistent
moderatelly consistent
not consistent
no opinion
42 In your opinion, to what extent did the Rural Development projects fit with the needs of your area?
fit very well  fit very well
fit well
fit moderatelly well
did not fit
iii did not not

43 In your opinion, what were the most essential benefits of EU financing for Rural Development Programmes that would not have been achieved by the Member States/regions acting on their own?
44 Do you have any suggestions on how future Rural Development Programmes could further improve living conditions in rural areas?
45 Would you apply in the future? Why? / Why not?
Document upload and final comments
46 Please feel free to upload a concise document, such as a position paper. The maximum file size is 1MB.
Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this public consultation. The document is optional and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.
47 If you wish to add further information — within the scope of this questionnaire — please feel free to do so here.
1000 character(s) maximum

no opinion